| 1   | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLI   | VAN, LLP  |
|-----|--|---|
|     | Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)                                 | Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)                            |
| 2   | dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com  | andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com                                       |
| 3   | Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)                                       | Teuta Fani (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) teutafani@quinnemanuel.com |
| 4   | sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor         | Joseph Margolies (admitted pro hac vice)                              |
| 4   | Redwood Shores, CA 94065   | josephmargolies@quinnemanuel.com                                      |
| 5   | Telephone: (650) 801-5000  | 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700                                       |
| 6   | Facsimile: (650) 801-5100  | Chicago, IL 60606   |
|     |  | Telephone: (312) 705-7400   |
| 7   |  | Facsimile: (312) 705-7401   |
| 8   | Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)                                  | Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)                                 |
|     | stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com   | josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com   |
| 9   | Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)                                     | Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)                                  |
| 10  | violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com   | tracygao@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) |
| 1.1 | Crystal Nix-Hines (CA Bar No. 326971) crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com | carlspilly @quinnemanuel.com  |
| 11  | Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)                                    | 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900   |
| 12  | alyolson@quinnemanuel.com  | Washington D.C., 20005  |
| 12  | 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor                                     | Telephone: (202) 538-8000   |
| 13  | Los Angeles, CA 90017  | Facsimile: (202) 538-8100   |
| 14  | Telephone: (213) 443-3000  |   |
| 15  | Facsimile: (213) 443-3100  |   |
|     | Jomaire Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)                               | Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)                                      |
| 16  | jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com                                       | jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com  |
| 17  | 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor  | 50 California Street, 22nd Floor                                      |
|     | New York, NY 10010<br>Telephone: (212) 849-7000                        | San Francisco, CA 94111<br>Telephone: (415) 875-6600                  |
| 18  | Facsimile: (212) 849-7000  | Facsimile: (415) 875-6700   |
| 19  | 1 desimile. (212) 615 7166   | Tuesmine. (113) 073 0700  |
| 20  | Counsel for Defendant Google LLC                                       |   |
| 20  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 21  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION                      |   |
| 22  | CHASOM BROWN, et al., individually and                                 | Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK  |
| 22  | on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,             |   |
| 23  | similarly situated,  | DECLARATION OF DONALD SETH<br>FORTENBERY IN SUPPORT OF                |
| 24  | Plaintiffs,  | PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSIDER  |
| 25  | v.   | WHETHER PORTIONS OF   |
| 23  |  | PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF                                       |
| 26  | GOOGLE LLC,  | MOTION TO STRIKE NON-RETAINED   |
| 27  | Defendant.   | EXPERT DECLARATIONS SHOULD BE SEALED                                  |
|     | Defendant.   | SEALED  |
| 28  |  | Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers                                    |
|     |  | Co so No. 4.20 ov 02664 VCD SVV                                       |

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

FORTENBERY DECLARATION ISO ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

2

3 4 5

7

8

6

10

9

12 13

14

15

11

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

## I, Donald Seth Fortenbery, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the bars of the States of Kentucky and New York, and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC ("Google") in this action. I have been admitted pro hac vice in this matter. Dkt. 547. I make this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt. 752.
- 3. On September 21, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Google's Materials Should Be Sealed regarding Plaintiffs' Reply In Support Of Motion to Strike Non-Retained Expert Declarations for Whom Google Provided No Expert Report. On September 21, 2022, Plaintiffs' provided an unredacted service copy of the documents sought to be sealed.
- 4. The common law right of public access to judicial proceedings is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); Crowe v. Cty. of San Diego, 210 F. Supp. 2d 1189, 1194 (S.D. Cal. 2002) ("[T]here is no right of access which attaches to all judicial proceedings." (internal citations omitted)). The right is weakest where, as here, the proceedings concern a non-dispositive discovery motion; rather than satisfy the more stringent "compelling reasons" standard, a party seeking to seal materials in these circumstances must make only a "particularized showing" of "good cause." Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–80 (9th Cir. 2006). Such sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc., 2014 WL 4965995, at \*4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information"); Standard & Poor's Corp. Inc. v.

1

3

4

5

**Document(s)** to be Sealed Plaintiffs' Reply In Support Of

Motion to Strike Non-Retained **Expert Declarations for Whom** 

Google joins Plaintiffs' motion to seal in FULL with respect to this

Google Provided No Expert

Report (Dkt. 705)

document.

Pages: 8:10, 8:13, 8:17

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Commodity Exch., Inc., 541 F. Supp. 1273, 1275 (S.D.N.Y. 1982) ("[T]he overriding interest to be found in business confidences . . . require[s] . . . temporary reasonably restricted access to the Courtroom of members of the public.").

5. I have reviewed the documents that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f). Based on my review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

| Basis for Sealing   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| The information requested to be sealed contains Google's      |  |  |
| highly confidential and proprietary information regarding     |  |  |
| highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and    |  |  |
| operations, such as Google's internal projects and project    |  |  |
| code names, that Google maintains as confidential in the      |  |  |
| ordinary course of its business and is not generally known    |  |  |
| to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and  |  |  |
| proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, |  |  |
| system designs, and business practices for operating and      |  |  |
| maintaining many of its important services, and falls within  |  |  |
| the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this   |  |  |
| action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such         |  |  |
| confidential and proprietary information could affect         |  |  |
| Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter        |  |  |
| their systems and practices relating to competing products.   |  |  |
| It may also place Google at an increased risk of              |  |  |
| cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the   |  |  |
| information to compromise Google's internal practices         |  |  |
| relating to competing products.                               |  |  |
|   |  |  |

- 6. Google's request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information. These redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly tailored and limited to portions containing Google's highly-confidential or confidential information, Google requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public version of those documents.
- 7. Google does not seek to redact or file under seal any of the remaining portions of documents not indicated in the table above.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Hoboken, New Jersey on September 27, 2022.

## By /s/ Donald Seth Fortenbery Donald Seth Fortenbery Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK FORTENBERY DECLARATION ISO ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL